arXiv:1103.5883v1 [math.AG] 30 Mar 2011

Rigid G_2-Representations and motives of Type G_2

Michael Dettweiler*, Johannes Schmidt

January 18, 2013

1 Introduction

We consider a family of motives $(M_s)_{s\in\mathbb{A}^1\setminus\{0,1\}}$ whose generic member has motivic Galois group isomorphic to a simple algebraic group of type G_2. Such families, attached to certain rigid local systems with G_2-monodromy, are introduced and studied in [DRK10]. There are exactly 5 local systems, whose associated Galois representations possibly can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this paper we study the family of motives attached to the local system on $\mathbb{A}^1\setminus\{0,1\}$ whose local monodromy at $0,1,\infty$ is given by

$$\mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1),\quad \mathbf{J}(2)\oplus\mathbf{J}(2)\oplus\mathbf{J}(3),\quad \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_7,\zeta_7^2,\zeta_7^3,\zeta_7^4,\zeta_7^5,\zeta_7^6,1),$$

(respectively) where $\mathbf{J}(k)$ denotes a Jordan block of length k. The family of motives arises from the family of varieties (with respect to the coordinate X_7), defined by

$$Y^{14}=\prod_{1\leq i\leq 7;j=1,2}(X_i-T_j)^{e(i,j)}\prod_{1\leq j\leq 6}(X_{j+1}-X_j)^{f(j)},$$

where the $e(i,j), f(j)$ are certain natural numbers between 1 and 13 and where $T_1=0, T_2=1$ (cf. Section 2).

It is a consequence of the rigidity criterion in inverse Galois theory that, although the underlying motives are a priori only defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$ (ζ_7 denoting a primitive 7-th root of unity), the monodromy representation

$$\rho_\ell=\rho_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}}:\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}\setminus\{0,1\})\to\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

of the étale realization $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}$ of the familiy $(M_s)_{s\in\mathbb{A}^1\setminus\{0,1\}}$ has the property that the residual representation can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$: There exists a lisse sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}\setminus\{0,1\}$ with monodromy representation

$$\bar\rho_\ell=\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}:\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}\setminus\{0,1\})\to\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

---

*The first author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the DFG-Heisenberg Grant DE-1442

such that $\bar{\rho}_\ell$ restricted to $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)} \setminus \{0,1\})$ is isomorphic to the residual representation of ρ_ℓ.

For a point $s \in S(K)$, where K is any field and S a scheme, we have a map $i_s : G_K \to \pi_1(S)$ by the functoriality of the functor π_1. The composition $\rho_\ell \circ i_s : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ (resp. $\bar{\rho}_\ell \circ i_s : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$) is called the *specialization of ρ_ℓ (resp. $\bar{\rho}_\ell$) with respect to s* and is denoted $\rho_\ell^{(s)}$ (resp. $\bar{\rho}_\ell^{(s)}$). We prove the following effective Hilbert irreducibility result for the specializations of $\bar{\rho}_\ell$ (Thm. 3.4):

1.1 Theorem. *Let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ and 13. Let $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$ and suppose there exist prime numbers $p, q \neq 2, 7, \ell$ with $p \nmid |G_2(\ell)|$, $p \equiv 3$ or 5 mod 7, $\nu_p(s) < 0 < \nu_q(s-1)$, $7 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ and $\ell \nmid \nu_q(s-1)$. Then $\bar{\rho}_\ell^{(s)} : G_\mathbb{Q} \to G_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is surjective.*

Since the reduction modulo-ℓ map $G_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to G_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ has the Frattini property (cf. [Wei95]), Thm. 1.1 implies that for s as in the theorem, the twist by a certain character of order ≤ 2 of the Galois representation

$$\rho_\ell^{(s)} : G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)} \to \mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

has an image equal to $G_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Under the Tate conjecture, this implies that the motive M_s, where s is as in the theorem, has motivic Galois group of type G_2 (Thm. 4.5).

2 Construction of sheaves with monodromy G_2

2.1 Introduction of the basic objects. For N a natural number denote by R_N the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_7, \frac{1}{14\ell N}]$. As in [DRK10] we want to construct in a nice geometric way a lisse sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}$ having monodromy (Zariski dense inside) $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and whose mod ℓ reduction has monodromy contained in $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$.

Denote by S_N the ring $R_N[T_1, T_2, \frac{1}{T_1 - T_2}]$. Set

$$\Delta_{T_1,T_2} := \prod_{i,j} (X_i - T_j) \prod_k (X_{k+1} - X_k),$$

where i runs through $\{1, ..., 7\}$, j through $\{0, 1\}$ and k runs through $\{1, ..., 6\}$. Denote by Hyp_N the hypersurface in $\mathbb{G}_{m,R_N} \otimes_{R_N} (\mathbb{A}^7_{S_N} \setminus v(\Delta_{T_1,T_2}))$ defined by

$$Y^{14} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 7; j=1,2} (X_i - T_j)^{e(i,j)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 6} (X_{j+1} - X_j)^{f(j)},$$

where Y denotes the coordinate function of $\mathbb{G}_{m,R_N}$, $X_1, ... X_7$ denotes the coordinate functions of $\mathbb{A}^7_{S_N}$ and the exponents are as follows:

$e(1,1)$	$e(2,1)$	$e(3,1)$	$e(4,1)$	$e(5,1)$	$e(6,1)$	$e(7,1)$
7	0	7	0	7	0	7

$e(1,2)$	$e(2,2)$	$e(3,2)$	$e(4,2)$	$e(5,2)$	$e(6,2)$	$e(7,2)$
13	5	0	5	0	5	0

and

$f(1)$	$f(2)$	$f(3)$	$f(4)$	$f(5)$	$f(6)$
11	3	1	13	5	9

.

Let

$$\pi_N : \mathrm{Hyp}_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_{S_N} \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$$

be the morphism induced by the inclusion

$$S_N[X_7, \tfrac{1}{(X_7-T_1)(X_7-T_2)}] \hookrightarrow S_N[X_1, ..., X_7, Y, \tfrac{1}{Y\Delta_{T_1,T_2}}].$$

We think of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$ as the group of 14^{th} roots of unity in R_N. It acts on Hyp_N via $Y \mapsto \omega Y$ (for $\omega \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$), inducing an $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action on $\mathbb{R}^q(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Think of R_N being embedded in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let χ be the $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-valued character of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$ given by this embedding. By $(\mathbb{R}^q(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi$ we mean the χ eigenspace of the $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action. Then by [DRK10] Thm. 2.3.1 and Thm. 2.4.1, $(\mathbb{R}^q(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi$ is a lisse $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1_{S_N} \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$ of mixed weights $\leq q$ and

$$\mathcal{V} := W^6[(\mathbb{R}^6(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi]_{|\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}\setminus\{0,1\}}$$

is cohomological rigid (see [Kat96] (5.0.1)) with induced monodromy representation $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ Zariski dense in $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Further, its monodromy tuple (g_0, g_1, g_∞) has the following Jordan canonical forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{JCF}(g_0) &= \mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1), \\ \mathrm{JCF}(g_1) &= \mathbf{J}(2) \oplus \mathbf{J}(2) \oplus \mathbf{J}(3) \\ \mathrm{JCF}(g_\infty) &= \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_7, \zeta_7^2, \zeta_7^3, \zeta_7^4, \zeta_7^5, \zeta_7^6, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper we use the following notation: If $\mathcal{W}$ is a lisse sheaf on a connected scheme X, then $\rho_{\mathcal{W}} : \pi_1(X, \bar{s}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}_{\bar{s}})$ denotes the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{W}$ with base point $\bar{s}$. For k be a number field containing ζ_7 set $\mathcal{V}_k := W^6[(\mathbb{R}^6(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi]_{|\mathbb{A}^1_k\setminus\{0,1\}}$ (similar define $\mathcal{V}_{S_N}, \mathcal{V}_{R_N}, \dots$). By definition, this is an extension of $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0,1\}$. Since the geometric fundamental group is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0,1\})$, the image of the induced representation $\rho_{\mathcal{V}_k}$ normalizes the image of $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$.

By [DRK10] Thm. 2.3.1, $\rho_{\mathcal{V}_{S_N}}$ is already defined over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_7)$ and standard arguments give us that it is $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\zeta_7))$-conjugate to a representation with values in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{Z}_\ell(\zeta_7))$. Thus we may assume, that $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}, \rho_{\mathcal{V}_{S_N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{V}_{R_N}}, \rho_{\mathcal{V}_k}, \dots$ have values in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{Z}_\ell(\zeta_7))$. Define the mod ℓ reductions $\bar{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{S_N}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_k, \dots$ as the étale locally constant sheaves given by the naive mod ℓ reductions of the above representations.

2.2 Computation of the monodromy of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. If G is an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ (e.g. $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ or $G_2 \leq \mathrm{GL}_7$) and if ℓ^n is a power of a prime number ℓ, we write $G(\ell^n)$ for the group $G(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^n})$. From now on let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7$. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma} := (\bar{g}_0, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_\infty)$ be the monodromy tuple of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. It is not hard to see that the Jordan canonical forms remain untouched: $\bar{g}_0$ is an involution so its Jordan canonical form is determined by its trace, which clearly is the mod ℓ reduction of $\mathrm{tr}(g_0)$. By our assumption on ℓ, $\mathrm{JCF}(\bar{g}_0) = \overline{\mathrm{JCF}(g_0)}$ follows easily. By looking at the minimal polynomial it is clear that $\bar{g}_1$ is unipotent with Jordan blocks of length less than three. If it has more than three blocks, $\dim(\mathrm{Eig}(\bar{g}_1, 1)) \geq 4$. But $\dim(\mathrm{Eig}(\bar{g}_0, -1)) = 4$. In particular the intersection of both eigenspaces is non trivial and thus the product relation $\bar{g}_0\bar{g}_1\bar{g}_\infty = \mathbf{1}$ implies that $\bar{g}_\infty$ has -1 as eigenvalue which is clearly a contradiction. The only remaining possibility except $\mathrm{JCF}(\bar{g}_1) = \overline{\mathrm{JCF}(g_1)}$ is two blocks of length three and one of length one. But then $\mathrm{rk}((\bar{g}_1 - \mathbf{1})^2)$ would be greater then $\mathrm{rk}((g_1 - \mathbf{1})^2)$ which is impossible. Finally, by our assumptions on ℓ the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{g}_\infty$ is still separable, hence $\mathrm{JCF}(\bar{g}_\infty) = \overline{\mathrm{JCF}(g_\infty)}$ holds as well.

As a result, the $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$-conjugacy classes of the $\bar{g}_i$'s are ϕ_ℓ-stable, where ϕ_ℓ denotes the component wise Frobenius map. Moreover, we still have the product relation $\phi_\ell(\bar{g}_0)\phi_\ell(\bar{g}_1)\phi_\ell(\bar{g}_\infty) = \mathbf{1}$. But the Katz algorithm for rigids [Kat96] Chapter 6 resp. its analogue to arbitrary algebraically closed fields of [DR00] yields that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is an absolutely irreducible linearly rigid tuple. Here linearly rigid means that whenever a triple of elements in the $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$-conjugacy classes of the $\bar{g}_i$'s satisfies the above product relation, then they are even simultaneously conjugate to our original $\bar{g}_i$'s. In particular we get a single element $g \in \mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ s.t. $\phi_\ell(\bar{g}_i)$ equals $\bar{g}_i^g$ for $i = 0, 1$ resp. ∞. Now $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ is connected so there is an element h with $g = h\phi_\ell(h)^{-1}$ by Lang-Steinberg (see e.g. [Car85] 1.17), i.e. ϕ_ℓ fixes $\bar{g}_i^h$. Thus we have shown:

2.1 Proposition. *Let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7$. Then $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$ is $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$-conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_7(\ell)$.*

In [Kle88], Kleidman has shown that every maximal subgroup M of $G_2(\ell^n)$ for $\ell \neq 2, 3$ is $G_2(\ell^n)$-conjugate to one of the groups contained in the following list.

Group:	**Remarks:**
P_α, P_β	maximal parabolic subgroups
$C_{G_2(\ell^n)}(\iota)$	centralizer of the involution ι
$K_\varepsilon \cong L_\varepsilon \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\varepsilon = \pm,\ L_+ \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(\ell^n),\ L_- \cong \mathrm{SU}_3(\ell^n)$
$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\ell^n)$	for $\ell \geq 7, \ell^n \geq 11$
$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{3\cdot} L_3(2)$	for $n = 1$
$L_2(8)$	for $\ell \geq 5, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^n} = \mathbb{F}_\ell(\omega)$ with $\omega^3 - 3\omega + 1 = 0$
$L_2(13)$	for $\ell \neq 13, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^n} = \mathbb{F}_\ell(\sqrt{13})$
$G_2(2)$	for $\ell^n = \ell \geq 5$
J_1	for $\ell^n = 11$
$C_{G_2(\ell^n)}(\phi_{\ell^m}) = G_2(\ell^m)$	for $\alpha = \frac{n}{m}$ prime

Here we denote by $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{3\cdot} L_3(2)$ a suitable non-split group extension of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$

with $L_3(2)$. We do not need an exact definition of P_α and P_β at this point, but it can be found latter in section 3.3. We will use this list to prove the following proposition:

2.2 Proposition. *Let* $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ *and* 13. *Suppose* $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}) \leq G_2(\ell^n)$. *Then* $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}) = G_2(\ell^n)$ *or* $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$ *is contained in a subgroup* $G_2(\ell^n)$*-conjugate to* $C_{G_2(\ell^n)}(\phi_{\ell^m}) = G_2(\ell^m)$ *for* $\alpha = \frac{n}{m}$ *a prime number.*

Proof: We simply have to check for every type of group in the list, whether the group contains $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$.

(i) We have seen above that $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$ acts irreducible on $V(\ell^n) := \mathbb{F}_{\ell^n}^7$ but the first three groups in the table act reducible on $V(\ell^n)$. Hence $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$ is not contained in any subgroup $G_2(\ell^n)$-conjugate to one of these subgroups.

(ii) As in the proof of [Kle88] Lem. 4.2.1 $V(\ell^n)$ as $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\ell^n)$ module is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^n}$-vector space of homogeneous polynomials in X and Y of degree 6 as $\langle \rho(\mathrm{SL}_2(\ell^n)), \mu^{-3}\rho(\mathrm{diag}(\mu, 1))\rangle$-module, where μ is a non square in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^n}$ and ρ is the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell^n)$ representation given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : X^i Y^j \mapsto (aX + bY)^i (cX + dY)^j.$$

The non trivial unipotent elements in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell^n)$ clearly form a single conjugacy class. Hence an easy computation shows, that the Jordan canonical form of each unipotent element in our $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\ell^n)$-representation consists of a single Jordan block of length 7. Since this is not the case for $\bar{g}_1$, no maximal subgroup $G_2(\ell^n)$-conjugate to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\ell^n)$ contains $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}})$.

(iii) Finally, we can exclude all other maximal subgroups but $C_{G_2(\ell^n)}(\phi_\alpha)$ in the above table by comparing their orders with our assumptions on ℓ. □

Iterative application of this together with Prop. 2.1 give us the following

2.3 Corollary. *Let* $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ *and* 13. *Then the image of* $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ *is* $G_2(\ell)$.

2.3 An extension of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the set of places $\{t, t-1, t^{-1}\}$ of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$. As in [MM99] I Thm. 2.2 denote by $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}$ the maximal algebraic extension field of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(t)}$ unramified outside $\mathbb{S}$. It is well known that $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}/k(t))$ is canonically isomorphic to $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0, 1\}, \bar{0})$ for k an algebraic extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$, where $\bar{0}$ denotes the geometric point corresponding to the choice of an embedding of $k(t)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(t)}$. In particular $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ gives rise to an intermediate field of $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$, Galois over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ with group isomorphic to $G_2(\ell)$. As in the Hurwitz classification of such intermediate fields in [MM99] I.4.1 denote this field by $\bar{N}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ (i.e. $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$ corresponds to $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ in loc. cit.). Now, if $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is a field of definition of $\bar{N}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}/_{G_2(\ell)}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ (see [MM99] I.3.1), we get an epimorphism $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}/\mathbb{Q}(t)) \twoheadrightarrow G_2(\ell)$ which, after a possible modification by an automorphism of $G_2(\ell)$, restricts to $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$. As a result the locally constant sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ defined by $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is an extension of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$.

Our tuple $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is rigid in the sense of [MM99] if for each triple $\{h_i\}$ in $G_2(\ell)^3$ satisfying the product relation $\bar{g}_0^{h_0}\bar{g}_1^{h_1}\bar{g}_\infty^{h_\infty} = \mathbf{1}$, there is even an element h of $G_2(\ell)$ with $\bar{g}_i^h = \bar{g}_i^{h_i}$ simultaneously for all i. Further, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is rational in the sense of [MM99] if for all primes p not dividing the order of $G_2(\ell)$ the p power map preserves the $G_2(\ell)$-conjugacy class of each $\bar{g}_i$. Now if $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is both rigid and rational $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is indeed a field of definition of $\bar{N}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}/_{G_2(\ell)}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ by the Rigidity Theorem (see [MM99] I Thm. 4.8).

We start with checking the rationality of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. By [MM99] I Prop. 4.4 this is equivalent to the statement that every complex irreducible character of $G_2(\ell)$ has rational values for $\bar{g}_0, \bar{g}_1$ and $\bar{g}_\infty$. But this is straightforward, using the character table in [CR74]. Thus $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is indeed rational.

Let $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \bmod 7$ be a prime number not dividing the order of $G_2(\ell)$. Then the rationality of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ implies that there exists an element $h_{\infty,p}$ in $G_2(\ell)$ with $\bar{g}_\infty^{h_{\infty,p}} = \bar{g}_\infty^p$. It is not hard to see that relative to the Jordan canonical form of $\bar{g}_\infty$, $h_{\infty,p}$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\pm 1} \times \text{ an element of D} \tag{2.1}$$

where D denotes the diagonal torus of $\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7))$. With the aid of $h_{\infty,p}$ we can show that

$$N_{\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(G_2(\ell)) = G_2(\ell) \times \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times : \tag{2.2}$$

First note that $N_K(G_2(K)) = G_2(K) \times K^\times$ holds for K algebraically closed. Indeed, if h is an element of the normalizer and $f(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the Dickson alternating trilinear form then $G_2(K)$ still fixes the form $f(h(\cdot), h(\cdot), h(\cdot))$. Hence by [Asc87] Thm. 5 (2) this form is a scalar multiple of the original Dickson form. Since K is assumed to be algebraically closed, a scalar multiple of h fixes the Dickson form. By [Asc87] (2.11) and (3.4) this means $h \in G_2(K) \times K^\times$ (G_2 is a simple group!), as claimed. The other inclusion is clear.

Now for $g \in G_2(\ell)$ and $h \in N_{\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(G_2(\ell))$ arbitrary we have $g^{\phi_\ell(h)} = \phi_\ell(g^h) = g^h$, i.e. $h^{-1}\phi_\ell(h) \in C_{\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(G_2(\ell))$. Let h' be arbitrary in this centralizer. We may assume, that $\bar{g}_\infty$ is in its Jordan canonical form. In particular h' is contained in D (since this is just the centralizer of $\bar{g}_\infty$). Thus it follows from (2.1) that h' is of the form $\mathrm{diag}(a, \ldots, a, b)$. But e.g. from $\bar{g}_1^{h'} = \bar{g}_1$ we can deduce that $a = b$. In particular $h^{-1}\phi_\ell(h)$ lies in the connected center of $\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$. So by Lang-Steinberg there is an element λ in this center s.t. $h^{-1}\phi_\ell(h)$ equals $\lambda\phi_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}$, i.e. the product

$h\lambda$ is fixed by ϕ_ℓ. But our above computation of $N_{\mathrm{GL}_7(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(G_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}\ell))$ shows, that the ϕ_ℓ fixed points are just $G_2(\ell) \times \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$, which completes the proof of (2.2).

Combining this with the linear rigidity of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ we finally get the rigidity in the sense of [MM99] and thus our desired extension of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$:

2.4 Proposition. *Let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ and 13. Then there exists an extension $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$, satisfying*

$$\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}) \; (= G_2(\ell)).$$

3 Specializations of $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$

From now on always assume $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ and 13. Denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$ induced by $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$ by s as well. Composition with the induced map on fundamental groups with $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ gives us the specialization at s

$$\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow G_2(\ell)$$

(similar for $\mathcal{V}_k, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_k, \ldots$). In this section we want to proof that for suitable choices of s, the Galois representation $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is onto.

3.1 Restriction of $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ to inertia subgroups. First we have to modify $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_k$ s.t. the resulting sheaves are compatible with $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \setminus \{0,1\})$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)} \setminus \{0,1\})$ and $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\})$ is a quotient of the latter group, the image of $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}}$ is contained in $N_{\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F}(\zeta_7))}(G_2(\ell)) = G_2(\ell) \times \mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7)^\times$. As a result we get a continuous character

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}) \arrow[r, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}}"] \arrow[rrr, bend right, "\varepsilon"'] & G_2(\ell) \times \mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7)^\times \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7)^\times}"] & \mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7)^\times \arrow[r, "(\ )^{-1}"] & \mathbb{F}_\ell(\zeta_7)^\times.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon_{R_N}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon_k, \ldots$ as the twists of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_k, \ldots$ by the restricted characters. Clearly $\bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon = \bar{\mathcal{V}}$. In particular these sheaves are still extensions of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$, with $\mathrm{im}(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon_k}) = G_2(\ell)$. Set $N := \bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\ker(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon_k})}$. Using basic arguments involving the profinite Galois correspondence we derive that $N \cdot \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = \bar{N}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ and $N \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)(t)$. We can deduce the analog statements for $N' := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)(t) \cdot \bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\ker(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})}$: Indeed, the desired properties hold for $\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\ker(\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. Thus, using arguments from the proof of [MM99] I Prop. 3.1, we see that N and N' coincides. In other words (after a possible modification by an automorphism of the monodromy) we get that $\bar{\mathcal{V}}^\varepsilon_k$ is the restriction of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

3.1 Lemma. *Let $p \neq 2,\ 7$ and ℓ be a prime number. Further, let $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$ with $\nu_p(s) > 0$ and $2 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ (for $i = 0$), $\nu_p(s-1) > 0$ and $\ell \nmid \nu_p(s-1)$ (for $i = 1$) resp. $\nu_p(s) < 0$ and $7 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ (for $i = \infty$). Then the image of an inertia subgroup $I_p \leq G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ under the specialization $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ at s contains an element conjugate to $\bar{g}_i$.*

Recall that the absolute Galois groups resp. étale fundamental group of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}$, $\mathbb{C}_p((z))$ resp. $\Delta_p := \mathrm{Spec} W_p((z))$ can be computed using the well known diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_{\mathbb{C}_p((z))} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & \varprojlim_{n} \boldsymbol{\mu}_n \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}"] \\
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \varprojlim_{p\nmid n} \boldsymbol{\mu}_n \\
G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}"] \arrow[u] & \varprojlim_{p\nmid n} \boldsymbol{\mu}_n \arrow[u, "(\ )^{\nu_p(a)}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

(here W_p denotes the Wittring of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with field of fractions $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}} \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p)$ is induced by the evaluation $z \mapsto a$ for $a \in W_p$). Note that the upper and lower commutative squares are taken with resp. to different base points of Δ_p. But since the claim of the lemma is only about conjugacy classes, we will often omit the base points in our notation. We will apply (3.1) for $z = t$ (for $i = 0$), $z = t - 1$ (for $i = 1$) resp. $z = \frac{1}{t}$ (for $i = \infty$) and $a = z(s)$.

Proof (of the lemma): Suppose $p \nmid N$. The canonical inclusions of the resp. coordinate rings gives us the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_2(\ell) & & \\
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}) \arrow[u, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon}_{R_N}}"] & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \setminus \{0,1\}) \arrow[l] \arrow[ul, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}"'] & \\
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p) \arrow[u] & G_{\mathbb{C}_p((z))} \arrow[l] \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}((z))}. \arrow[ul, "\mathrm{res}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The restriction map $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}((z))} \to \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{M}_{\mathbb{S}}/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t)) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \setminus \{0,1\})$ identifies $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}((z))}$ with one of the conjugated inertia groups corresponding to z in $\mathbb{S}$. Since one of these inertia groups is topological generated by γ_i with $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}}(\gamma_i) = \bar{g}_i$ (see e.g. [MM99] I Thm. 1.4), the image of the resulting representation $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}((z))} \to G_2(\ell)$ is conjugate to the subgroup generated by $\bar{g}_i$. The same is true for the representation $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p) \to G_2(\ell)$ induced by $(\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_n})_{|\Delta_p}$: Indeed, by (3.1) $G_{\mathbb{C}_p((z))} \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p)$ is an epimorphism.

The diagram consisting of canonical inclusions and evaluations $t \mapsto s$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_N[t, \frac{1}{t(t-1)}] \arrow[rr] \arrow[d, hook] & & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)[t, \frac{1}{t(t-1)}] \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Q}[t, \frac{1}{t(t-1)}] \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d] \\
W_p((z)) \arrow[r] & \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}} & \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[l, hook'] & \mathbb{Q}. \arrow[l, hook']
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives us the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & G_2(\ell) \\
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}, \bar{s}) \arrow[urrr, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon}_{R_N}}"] & & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)} \setminus \{0,1\}, \bar{s}) \arrow[ll] \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}}"] & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}, \bar{s}) \arrow[u, "\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}"'] \\
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p, \bar{s}) \arrow[u] & G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}}} \arrow[l] \arrow[ur] \arrow[r, hook] & I_p \arrow[u] \arrow[r, hook] & G_{\mathbb{Q}}. \arrow[u] \arrow[uu, bend right=60, "\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, $(\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})_{|G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}}}}$ factors through the above representation induced by $(\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_n})_{|\Delta_p}$. But by (3.1) $G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}}} \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\Delta_p)$ is the $\nu_p(a)$ power map and $\nu_p(a)$ is coprime to $\operatorname{ord}(\bar{g}_i)$ by our assumptions. It follows that the image of $(\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})_{|G_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}}}}$ is still conjugate to the subgroup generated by $\bar{g}_i$, which completes the proof. □

Consider the maximal tame algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. The tame inertia group I_p^{tame} is given by the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow I_p^{\text{tame}} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{tame}} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{F}_p} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since I_p^{tame} is abelian, $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ acts on I_p^{tame}. It is not hard to see that the Frobenius acts as p power map.

Let p be as in the last lemma for $i = \infty$. Moreover suppose that p does not divide the order of $G_2(l)$. Since I_p^{tame} is the quotient of I_p by its unique p-Sylow group (see e.g. [Neu92] II Satz 9.12), $(\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})_{|I_p}$ factors through I_p^{tame}. In particular, a lift of the Frobenius to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts on the image of $(\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})_{|I_p}$ as the p power map. Thus for $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \bmod 7$ and our $\bar{g}_\infty$ conjugate in the image of $(\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}})_{|I_p}$ we get an element in the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ with analogue properties as $h_{\infty,p}$ has for $\bar{g}_\infty$.

For notational convenience we assume that our $\bar{g}_i$ conjugates given by the lemma are just $\bar{g}_i$ themselves and that the image of the Frobenius is just $h_{\infty,p}$.

3.2 The structure of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-module. For p as above let H be the subgroup of the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ generated by $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_\infty$ and $h_{\infty,p}$. For a field extension $K/\mathbb{F}_\ell$ set $V(K) := \bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} K$.

3.2 Lemma. *The decomposition of $V(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ into $V_1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \oplus V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$, where the direct factors are $V_1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) := \operatorname{Eig}(\bar{g}_\infty, 1)$ and $V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^6 \operatorname{Eig}(\bar{g}_\infty, \zeta_7^i)$, is defined over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. If H acts reducible on $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the only possible non trivial $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-submodules are $V_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $V_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Further, if they exist, they are irreducible submodules.*

Proof: Denote by H' the subgroup generated only by $\bar{g}_\infty$ and $h_{\infty,p}$. First we prove that $V(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) = V_1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \oplus V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ is an irreducible decomposition of $V(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ as a $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell[H']$-module. Let v_i be a ζ_7^i-eigenvector of $\bar{g}_\infty$. In particular (2.1) gives us a description for $h_{\infty,p}$ with resp. to the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_7\}$. Thus $V(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) =$

$V_1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \oplus V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ is clearly a decomposition of $V(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ as a $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell[H']$-module. It remains to prove the H'-irreducibility of $V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$: For a non trivial $v \in V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ define M_v as the set of all i s.t. the projection of v to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell.v_i$ is nontrivial and m_v as $|M_v|$. Let $P \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell[X]$ be non trivial of minimal degree with $P(\bar{g}_\infty)v = 0$. It follows that $P(\zeta_7^i) = 0$ for $i \in M_v$. But $\prod_{i \in M_v}(X - \zeta_7^i)$ gives another such non trivial relation, i.e. $\deg(P) = m_v$. Clearly $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell.\bar{g}_\infty^i(v)$ is contained in the m_v-dim. space $\bigoplus_{i \in M_v} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell.v_i$. As a result these spaces coincide. In particular, there exists an $i \leq 6$ with v_i in the $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$-span of $H'v$. But by (2.1) this span is already $V_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$.

Now the rest of the proof is quite easy: The minimal polynomial of $\bar{g}_\infty$ is separable. So we see that the decomposition is already defined over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ by looking at the rational canonical form of $\bar{g}_\infty$. Let $U \leq V(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ be a non trivial $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-hence also $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H']$-submodule. Using the H'-irreducibility of $V_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $V_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ we get that U equals either $V_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ or $V_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (compute the possible dimensions of their intersections with U). □

It follows that $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q} = V_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \oplus V_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is the only possible non trivial decomposition as an $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-module. Suppose that this is indeed a decomposition. In particular both $V_1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $V_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ are $\bar{g}_1$-invariant, which contradicts that the Jordan canonical form of $\bar{g}_1$ contains no Jordan block of length 1. Thus we get:

3.3 Corollary. *$\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q}$ is indecomposable as an $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-module.*

3.3 Computation of the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q}}$. First we recall the following fact about our representation of $G_2(\ell)$ which can be found for example in [Mal03]: There is a basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_7\}$ of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q}$ s.t. every maximal parabolic subgroup of $G_2(\ell)$ is given either by $P_\alpha := \langle \mathcal{T}, x_\alpha, x_\alpha^{\mathrm{tr}}, x_\beta \rangle$ or $P_\beta := \langle \mathcal{T}, x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_\beta^{\mathrm{tr}} \rangle$, where x_α respectively x_β are generators of the root subgroup of the simple root α respectively β given as

$$x_\alpha := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & 1 & -1 & & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & -1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathcal{T} := \{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2^{-1}, 1, t_1^{-1} t_2, t_2^{-1}, t_1^{-1}) \mid t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times\}$ is a maximal split torus of $G_2(\ell)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

We will use the above list of types of maximal subgroups of $G_2(\ell)$ to prove the following

3.4 Theorem. *Let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ and 13. Let $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and suppose there exist prime numbers $p, q \neq 2, 7, \ell$ with $p \nmid |G_2(\ell)|$, $p \equiv 3$ or 5 mod 7, $\nu_p(s) < 0 <$*

$\nu_q(s-1)$, $7 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ and $\ell \nmid \nu_q(s-1)$. Then $\rho^{(s)}_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to G_2(\ell)$ is surjective.

Proof: It suffices to proof that no maximal subgroup $G_2(\ell)$-conjugate to one of the subgroups in the above list contains H (hence $H = G_2(\ell)$).

By the same arguments as in the proof of Prop. 2.3, H is not contained in any maximal subgroup of $G_2(\ell)$ (conjugate to a subgroup) in the above list except the first three ones.

(i) Suppose $H \leq M$ with M a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G_2(\ell)$. If $M = P_\alpha$, then v_1 and v_2 span a 2-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell[P_\alpha]$- hence $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-submodule of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $M = P_\beta$, then v_1, v_2 and v_3 span a 3-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell[P_\beta]$- hence $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-submodule of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which both contradicts Lemma 3.2.

(ii) Suppose $H \leq C_{G_2(\ell)}(\iota)$ for an involution ι as in the above list. Now ι is semisimple $\neq -\mathbf{1}$ (otherwise $C_{G_2(\ell)}(\iota)$ would be $G_2(\ell)$). Thus ι has Jordan canonical form

$$\mathrm{JCF}(\iota) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{r\times r} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{id}_{s\times s} \end{pmatrix}$$

for suitable $r, s > 0$ and $r + s = 7$. But then $C_{\mathrm{GL}(\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(\iota)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_r(\ell) \oplus \mathrm{GL}_s(\ell)$. In particular even $C_{\mathrm{GL}(\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(\iota)$ acts decomposable on $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which contradicts Cor. 3.3.

(iii) Suppose $H \leq M$ with M $G_2(\ell)$-conjugate to $K_\varepsilon = L_\varepsilon \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that M equals K_ε. According to the proof of [Kle88] Prop. 2.2 we may choose L_ε in our representation to be generated by three long root subgroups of $G_2(\ell)$, all of which act trivial on a 5-dimensional subspace W_i of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp.). In particular L_ε acts trivial on the non trivial subspace $W_1 \cap W_2 \cap W_3$. Say v is a non trivial Element of this space and let σ be the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in K_ε. Since σ normalizes L_ε, L_ε acts trivial on σv as well. Thus the space W spanned by v and σv is a 1- or 2-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell[K_\varepsilon]$-submodule of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Further, [Kle88] Prop. 2.2 (iii) tells us that K_ε stabilizes a 6-dimensional subspace U of $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. From Lem. 3.2 we get that $U = V_2(\ell)$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-submodule. In particular U and W intersects trivially. It follows that $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} = U \oplus W$ is a decomposition as an $\mathbb{F}_\ell[H]$-module, which again contradicts Cor. 3.3.

By the above list H is not contained in any maximal subgroup of $G_2(\ell)$, so the theorem follows. □

3.5 Remark. Note that this theorem is in particular an explicit version of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem for $G_2(\ell)$. A similar result was proved by S. Beckmann in [Bec91] (see loc. cit. Cor. 1.3), using a different approach. She needs a generating set of certain $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in T\}$ in the image of certain inertia groups with the property that for all τ_i in the $G_2(\ell)$-conjugacy class of σ_i, $\{\tau_i \mid i \in T\}$ is still a generating set. But restriction of our specialization to the inertia groups I_p and I_q alone only yields two elements, which not necessary generates $G_2(\ell)$. Thus her result does not apply in our case. Further, we have to exclude less primes: q might as well divide the order of $G_2(\ell)$, hence might lie inside the exceptional set S_{bad} in [Bec91].

4 Motives with motivic Galois group G_2

Assuming the Tate conjecture, we will construct a family of pure motives with motivic Galois group (with resp. to the ℓ-adic realization) $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

4.1 Interpretation of $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k$ as ℓ-adic realization. By the construction in section 2.1 our way is clear: we have to interpret suitable stalks of $\mathcal{V}_k$ in terms of ℓ-adic cohomology of suitable smooth projective varieties.

For a k-valued point $s : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}$ denote by $\pi_s : \mathrm{Hyp}_s \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ the basechange of π_N along s.

4.1 Proposition. *There is a 6-dimensional smooth projective $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant compactification*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hyp}_s \arrow[r, hook, "j"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_s"'] & X \arrow[d, "\bar{\pi}_s"] & D \arrow[l, hook', "i"'] \arrow[dl, "\pi_D"] \\
& \mathrm{Spec}(k) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

of π_s with $X = \mathrm{Hyp}_s \amalg D$ and $D = \bigcup_i D_i$ a closed subscheme with smooth projective D_i.

Proof: Recall from the construction in Sec. 2.1 that we have a canonical embedding $\mathrm{Hyp}_s \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k \otimes_k \mathbb{P}^6_k$. The $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action clearly extents to $\mathbb{P}^1_k \otimes_k \mathbb{P}^6_k$. Thus we get a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action on the closed subvariety $\overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s$ given by the closure of Hyp_s in $\mathbb{P}^1_k \otimes_k \mathbb{P}^6_k$. Clearly Hyp_s lies in the regular locus of $\overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s$. Apply [BEV05] Thm. 2.4 to get an iterated blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1_k \otimes_k \mathbb{P}^6_k$ with regular $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant strict transform $\overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s^{\sim} \to \overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s$ extending the $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action on Hyp_s.

Let D' be the closed subvariety of $\overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s^{\sim}$ given by the complement of Hyp_s. The $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action restricts to D'. We may assume that D' is equidimensional (otherwise iterate the next step for every $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-orbit of the induced action on the connected components of D'). Again by [BEV05] Thm. 2.4 we get a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant iterated blow up $X \to \overline{\mathrm{Hyp}}_s^{\sim}$ in $\underline{\mathrm{SmProj}}_k$ with regular $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant strict transform $D_0 \to D'$. In particular we still have a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant open embedding $\mathrm{Hyp}_s \hookrightarrow X$. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i \hookrightarrow X$ be the exceptional locus (denoted $\bigcup_i H_i$ in [BEV05]). But then D_i is smooth projective by definition of a pair in loc. cit. (see Def. 2.2). Set $D := \bigcup_{i=0}^r D_i$. Then X equals $\mathrm{Hyp}_s \amalg D$, which completes the proof. $\square$

For $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$ there is an $N \gg 0$ s.t. s induces an R_N-valued point of $\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}$ (also denoted by s) and s.t. the above compactification has a model

$$\begin{tikzcd}
s^*\mathrm{Hyp}_N \arrow[r, hook, "j"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_N^s := s^*\pi_N"'] & X_N \arrow[d, "\bar{\pi}_N^s"] & D_N = \bigcup_i D_{N,i} \arrow[l, hook', "i"'] \arrow[dl, "\pi_{D_N}^s"] \\
& \mathrm{Spec}(R_N) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

over R_N with good reduction at every prime of R_N. For such N we get the following

4.2 Lemma. *Let* Π *be the indempotent endomorphism of* $H^6_\ell(X)$ *given in the group ring* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}]$ *as* $\frac{1}{14}\sum_{i=0}^{13}\zeta_{14}^{-i}\omega^i$ *for a generator* ω *of* $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$ *with* $\chi(\omega) = \zeta_{14}$*. Then the canonical morphism* $\alpha : \coprod_i D_i \to X$ *induces a canonical isomorphism of* G_k*-modules*

$$\bar{s}^* W^6[(\mathbb{R}^6(\pi_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi[\ker(H^6_\ell(\alpha))].$$

Proof: We argue as in the proof of [DRK10] Cor. 2.4.2: First, using Weil II we see

$$W^6[(\mathbb{R}^6(\pi^s_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi] \cong \ker(\mathbb{R}^6(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathbb{R}^6(\pi^s_{D_N})_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi.$$

Let α_N be the canonical morphism $\coprod_i D_{N,i} \to X_N$. Suppose the canonical morphism

$$\mathbb{R}^6(\pi^s_{D_N})_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \mathbb{R}^6(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*(i_*i^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^6(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*((\alpha_N)_*\alpha_N^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

is a monomorphism. It follows that we can express $W^6[(\mathbb{R}^6(\pi^s_N)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi]$ as $\ker(\mathbb{R}^6(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathbb{R}^6(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*(a_*a^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))^\chi$. Now taking stalks at $\bar{s}$ completes the proof.

Thus it remains to show that $\mathbb{R}^q(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*(i_*i^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \mathbb{R}^q(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*((\alpha_N)_*\alpha_N^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a monomorphism. Clearly α_N factors through i. The corresponding morphism $a : \coprod_i D_{N,i} \to D_N$ is finite, hence $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to a_*a^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a monomorphism. From this we get that $i_*i^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to (\alpha_N)_*\alpha_N^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a monomorphism as well. The induced short exact sequence gives us a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{R}^q(\bar{\pi}^s_N)_*$-terms. Finally, by good reduction of $\bar{\pi}^s_N$ we can apply Weil II to show that all the connecting morphisms vanish (compare the weights of the domain and codomain), which completes the proof. □

From know on assume the Tate conjecture holds. In particular the standard conjectures holds for $H^\bullet_\ell$. We work in the (therefore) Tannakian category $\underline{\mathrm{NM}}(k)_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ of numerical motives over k with coefficients $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and fibre functor $H^\bullet_\ell$. Note that α is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-equivariant: indeed by our construction of D, D_0 is fixed by the $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{14}$-action while the action permutes the D_i's for $i > 0$ (see the equivariance part in the proof of [BEV05] Thm. 2.4). It follows that Π commutes with the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\ker(\alpha)} : \mathfrak{h}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \ker(\alpha)$. It is clear that the sixths Künneth projectore π^6_X commutes with both idempotents. As a result $p_s := \Pi \circ \pi^6_X \circ \mathrm{pr}_{\ker(\alpha)}$ is idempotent as well and $p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)$ a well defined numerical motive. The following corollary is then clear:

4.3 Corollary. *The* ℓ*-adic realization* $H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X))$ *of* $p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)$ *isomorphic to* $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k$ *as a* G_k*-module.*

4.2 Motives of type G_2. In this final section we will proof that for suitable choices of s the motive $p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3)$ has motivic Galois group $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

As above let $s \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$ and choose $N \gg 0$ as in the last subsection. Let $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ and 13. Furthermore, let $p, q \neq 2, 7$ be prime numbers with $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \bmod 7$, $p \nmid N|G_2(\ell)|$ and the additional properties $\nu_p(s) < 0 < \nu_q(s-1)$, $7 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ and $\ell \nmid \nu_q(s-1)$.

Again $\rho_{\mathcal{V}_{R_N}}$ normalizes $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. In abuse of notion we denote by ε the resulting continuous character

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{R_N} \setminus \{0,1\}) \arrow[r, "\rho_{\mathcal{V}_{R_N}}"] \arrow[rrr, bend right] & G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times}"] & \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \arrow[r, "(\ )^{-1}"] & \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times
\end{tikzcd}$$

as well. As above this gives us extensions $\mathcal{V}_k^\varepsilon, \mathcal{V}_{R_N}^\varepsilon, \ldots$ of $\mathcal{V}$. These are still defined over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_7)$. Hence we get back $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_k^\varepsilon, \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{R_N}^\varepsilon, \ldots$ by mod ℓ reduction analogue to the above construction. Let $k/\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension containing ζ_7 s.t. the G_k-representation $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_k^\varepsilon}$ is non trivial (e.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$). Since this representation is a restriction of the absolutely irreducible $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-representation $\rho_{\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ (see Thm. 1.1) with image the simple group $G_2(\ell)$, G_k acts on $\bar{s}^*\bar{\mathcal{V}}_k^\varepsilon$ absolutely irreducible as well. But then the G_k-module $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k^\varepsilon$ hence $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k \cong H_\ell^\bullet(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X))$ is irreducible, too.

Recall that X has dimension 6. Poincaré duality gives us a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form $H_\ell^6(X)(3)^{\otimes 2} \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By the projection formula (see e.g. [And04] 3.3.2) the adjoint of a morphism given by an element of G_k with resp. to this form is just its inverse, i.e. the Galois action is orthogonal.

Now $H_\ell^\bullet(p_s)$ is a morphism of Galois representations. Further, the kernel of the canonical map $H_\ell^\bullet(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3)) \to H_\ell^\bullet(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))^\vee$ induced by the above bilinear form is G_k-invariant. But $H_\ell^\bullet(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ is an irreducible G_k-module, i.e. the restriction of the above bilinear form gives us a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form b on $H_\ell^\bullet(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ resp. $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k(3)$ s.t. G_k acts orthogonal with resp. to this form.

As a result the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}_k(3)}$ is even contained inside $N_{\mathrm{O}(\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k(3),b)}(G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \langle \pm 1 \rangle$. In particular ε^2 is trivial, hence we can choose a k as above with the additional properties $\mathcal{V}_k^\varepsilon(3) = \mathcal{V}_k(3)$ and $[k : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)] \leq 2$. For this k we get the following

4.4 Proposition. *The image of the specialization $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}_k(3)}$ at s is a Zariski dense subgroup of $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.*

Proof: We have seen above that $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}$ acts as an irreducible subgroup of $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ on $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}(3)$. Using [Asc87] Cor. 12 we get that the only possible proper maximal Zariski closed subgroups of $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ containing the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}(3)}$ are of fifth type in loc. cit.. We will show that this is not the case:

Note that the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}(3)}$ contains an element conjugate to $g_1^{\nu_q(s-1)}$: Indeed, since $p \nmid N$ we can argue as in the proof of Lem. 3.2. The Jordan canonical form of g_1 consists of three Jordan blocks. As a result g_1 has a 3-dimensional 1-eigenspace. It follows that $g_1^{\nu_q(s-1)}$ has at least a 3-dimensional 1-eigenspace, i.e. its Jordan canonical form consists of at least three blocks. But $g_1^{\nu_q(s-1)}$ is clearly non trivial. Thus we can argue as in the second part of the proof of Prop. 2.2 to get that the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)}(3)}$ is a Zariski dense subgroup of $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. In particular the closure of the image of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}_k(3)} = \rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}_k^\varepsilon(3)}$ is a non trivial normal subgroup of the simple group $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, which finishes the proof. □

All in all we have seen that the G_k-action on objects in the $\otimes$-category generated by $H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ in $\underline{\mathrm{Vec}}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ fixes $b \in S^2 H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ and the Dickson form $f \in \Lambda^3 H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$, i.e. b and f are Tate cycles. Granting the Tate conjecture this gives us that the motivic Galois group $G_{\mathrm{mot}}(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ fixes these cycles, hence is contained inside $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by [Asc87] (2.11) and (3.4).

On the other hand every algebraic cycle is a Tate cycle, so $\mathrm{im}(\rho^{(s)}_{\mathcal{V}_k(3)})$ fixes all algebraic cycles in $\bar{s}^*\mathcal{V}_k(3) = H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ and hence on all $\otimes$-constructions of this space. Further, it is a Zariski dense subgroup of $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as a Zariski closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(H^\bullet_\ell(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3)))$. So the same is true for $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. But $G_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is reductive, so it is contained in $G_{\mathrm{mot}}(p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3))$ by [And04] 6.3.1.

This gives us our final result:

4.5 Theorem. *Suppose the Tate conjecture holds. Let* $s \in \mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0,1\}$ *and choose* $N \gg 0$ *s.t.* X *and the* D_i*'s are defined over* R_N *with good reduction at every prime. Let* $\ell \neq 2, 3, 7, 11$ *and* 13*. Furthermore, let* $p, q \neq 2, 7, \ell$ *be prime numbers with* $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \bmod 7$, $p \nmid N \cdot |G_2(\ell)|$ *and the additional properties* $\nu_p(s) < 0 < \nu_q(s-1)$, $7 \nmid \nu_p(s)$ *and* $\ell \nmid \nu_q(s-1)$*. Then the motive* $p_s\mathfrak{h}(X)(3)$ *has motivic Galois group of type* G_2*.*

References

[And04] Yves André. *Une Introduction aux Motifs (Motifs Purs, Motifs Mixtes, Périodes)*, volume 17 of *Panoramas et synthèses*. Société Mathématique de France, 2004.

[Asc87] Michael Aschbacher. Chevalley Groups of Type G_2 as the Group of a Trilinear Form. *Journal of Algebra*, 109: 193–259, 1987.

[Bec91] Sybilla Beckmann. On extensions of number fields obtained by specializing branched coverings. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 419: 27–53, 1991.

[BEV05] A. Bravo, S. Encinas, and O. Villamayor. A simplified proof of desingularization and applications. *Revista Mathemática Iberoamericana*, 21(2): 349–458, 2005.

[Car85] Roger W. Carter. *Finite Groups of Lie Type*. Pure and Applied Mathematics; A Wiley-Interscience publication. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester [u.a.], 1985.

[CR74] Bomshik Chang and Rimhak Ree. The Characters of $G_2(q)$. *Symposia Mathematica*, XIII: 395–413, 1974.

[DR00] Michael Dettweiler and Stefan Reiter. An Algorithm of Katz and its Application to the Inverse Galois Problem. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 30: 761–798, 2000.

[DRK10] Michael Dettweiler, Stefan Reiter, and Nicholas M. Katz. Rigid local systems and motives of type G_2. With an appendix by Michael Dettweiler and Nicholas M. Katz. *Compositio Mathematica*, 146(4):929–963, 2010.

[Kat96] Nicholas M. Katz. *Rigid Local Systems*, volume 139 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1996.

[Kle88] Peter B. Kleidman. The Maximal Subgroups of the Chevalley Groups $G_2(q)$ with q Odd, the Ree Groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and Their Automorphism Groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 117: 30–71, 1988.

[Mal03] Gunter Malle. Explicit realization of the Dickson groups $G_2(q)$ as Galois groups. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 212(1): 157–167, 2003.

[MM99] G. Malle and B.H. Matzat. *Inverse Galois Theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1999.

[Neu92] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.

[Ser94] J.-P. Serre. Propriétés conjecturales des groupes de Galois motiviques et des représentations ℓ-adiques. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 55: 377–400, 1994.

[Wei95] T. Weigel. On a certain class of Frattini extensions of finite Chevalley groups. In W.M. Kantor et al., editor, *Groups of Lie type and their geometries*, volume 207 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.*, pages 281–288. Cambridge University Press, 1995.